

## Westcliff University Preliminary/Final Defense Feedback Form

This form is to be used to evaluate the Preliminary/Final Defense. The Dissertation Committee will complete this form after the defense, will indicate areas for improvement, and will list the steps necessary prior to moving on to the next stage. This form should be discussed with the doctoral student and **emailed within 24 hours** to the student post defense.

Dissertation Chair:	Date:
Doctoral Student's Name:	
Check one:	
☐ Preliminary Defense	
☐ Final Defense	



## Check the appropriate boxes in each category

Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Mostly Meets Expectations	Meets Expectations	Comments
Visual Presentation	Visual aids are:  Not well created  Do not enhance understanding of content  Not well organized	Visual aids are:  Well created Enhance understanding of content Organized	Visual aids are:  Exceptionally well created  Exceptionally enhance understanding of content  Very well organized	
Oral Presentation	Oral presentation is:  Not professionally communicated Not understandable Slides/paper was memorized/read verbatim	Oral presentation is:  Professionally communicated Understandable Slides/paper was not read verbatim	Oral presentation is:  Exceptionally professionally communicated  Easily understandable  Slides/paper was not read from at all	
Command of Information	<ul> <li>□ Does not evidence command of content</li> <li>□ Does not evidence critical thinking at a doctoral level</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Evidences moderate command of content</li> <li>Evidences critical thinking</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Evidences strong command of content</li> <li>□ Evidences critical thinking at a doctoral level</li> </ul>	
Theoretical/ Scientific Knowledge	☐ Arguments are incomprehensible or factually inaccurate ☐ Claims are not supported and/or anecdotal evidence is used	☐ Arguments are comprehensible and accurate ☐ Claims are supported and/or some anecdotal evidence is used	☐ Arguments are exceptionally articulated and supported ☐ Claims are substantially supported and no anecdotal evidence is used	



Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Mostly Meets Expectations	Meets Expectations	Comments
	Displays poor understanding of theoretical concepts	Displays understanding of theoretical concepts	Displays exceptional understanding of theoretical concepts	
Methodology, Design, and Research Questions	<ul> <li>□ Methodology and design are not clearly justified</li> <li>□ Research questions are not measurable</li> <li>□ Methodology, design, research questions, and problem statements do not align</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Methodology and design are somewhat clearly justified.</li> <li>Research questions are mostly measurable</li> <li>Methodology, design, research questions, and problem statements mostly align</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Methodology and design are clearly justified.</li> <li>Research questions are all measurable</li> <li>Methodology, design, research questions, and problem statements align</li> </ul>	
Data Collection	Does not articulate either a plan or an acceptable plan (or if in final defense, the process they followed) to validate data collection instrument	Articulates an acceptable plan (or if in final defense, the process they followed) to validate data collection instrument	Articulates a clear, methodological plan (or if in final defense, the process they followed) to validate data collection instrument	
Ethical Considerations	Ethical concerns:  Are present	Ethical concerns:  Are not present	Ethical concerns:  Have been entirely assuaged by depth of information and clear explanation of participant protection	



Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Mostly Meets Expectations	Meets Expectations	Comments
Quality of Writing	<ul> <li>□ Writing is not at the doctoral level</li> <li>□ Many mechanical and formatting errors</li> <li>□ Poor organization</li> <li>Multitude of grammatical, form, and formatting issues.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Writing is mostly at the doctoral level</li> <li>□ Few mechanical and formatting errors</li> <li>□ Adequate organization</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Writing is consistently at the doctoral level</li> <li>□ Almost no mechanical and formatting errors</li> <li>□ Exceptional organization</li> </ul>	
Response to Questions	Responses to questions:  Are poorly formulated Exhibit a lack of subject matter expert knowledge	Responses to questions:  Are adequately formulated Exhibit somewhat of a subject matter expert knowledge	Responses to questions:  Are formulated expertly Exhibit a strong subject matter expert knowledge	
Overall Assessment	□ No Pass	Pass with Revisions	Pass	
Comments and Ne	xt Steps:			



Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Mostly Meets Expectations	Meets Expectations	Comments